



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

AKC

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/925,868 09/09/97 ISBARA

M INPA: 035

MMC1/1012

EXAMINER

WILLIAM W. KIDD
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD
SEVENTH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES CA 90025

WELLS, K

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2816

DATE MAILED: 10/12/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary	Application No. 08/925,868	Applicant(s) ISBARA
	Examiner Kenneth B. Wells	Group Art Unit 2816

Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8-21-00

This action is FINAL.

Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire three month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Disposition of Claims

Claim(s) 1-17, 19, and 20 is/are pending in the application.

Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

Claim(s) 1-17, 19, and 20 is/are rejected.

Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is approved disapproved.

The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been

received.

received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____.

received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____

Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

- Notice of References Cited, PTO-892
- Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____
- Interview Summary, PTO-413
- Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948
- Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Art Unit: 2816

1. The amendment filed on 8/21/00 has been entered in the case.
2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
3. Claims 1-17, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any one of Fox, Nelson and GB 1,2287,021.

As to claim 1, note that each of these references discloses an RC attenuator, which is essentially all that applicant is reciting in this claim. The differences are that applicant uses a continuously-on biased FET instead of the discrete resistor shown by each of the references. However, the replacement of a discrete resistor with a continuously-on biased FET is notoriously well-known in the art (official notice is taken) and there is obvious motivation to make such a replacement, i.e., to save chip real estate, since discrete resistors take up more space than integrated FETs acting as resistance elements. The resistor recited in the claim also fails to distinguish patentably over these references because it is also old and well-known in the art to add such a series resistor between the gate bias voltage and the gate of the FET for the purpose of

Art Unit: 2816

controlling the on level of the FET (and thereby controlling the resistance value of the FET), all of which are old and well-known concepts to those having ordinary skill in the art. The limitations that the input and output signals are binary does not define patentably over the applied prior art because, as applicant is well aware, the type of signals that are received and output by a certain device are not part of the device (i.e., they are not structural features of the invention) and thus are merely intended use limitations which cannot distinguish a claimed structure from a prior art structure which fully meets the claim under 35 USC 102 or 103. Moreover, note that the Nelson reference suggests using binary input and output signals (see Fig. 2 of that reference). The recitation of the levels of the input or output signals cannot serve to distinguish over the prior art since these signals are not part of the invention, i.e., they are merely intended use limitations.

As to the remaining claims which recite the inverter 26 and pull-up FET 28, the limitations recited therein are also considered to be well-within the ordinary skill level and are thus obvious as well, for the reasons noted in the previous office actions.

Art Unit: 2816

5. Applicant's arguments filed on 8/21/00 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The arguments that the claimed structure is "radically different" from the applied prior art are not persuasive because, as noted in the rejection above, the claimed structure is an obvious variation of what is disclosed by the prior art.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the

Art Unit: 2816

statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth B. Wells whose telephone number is (703) 308-4809. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy P. Callahan, can be reached on (703) 308-4876. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Kenneth B. Wells
Kenneth B. Wells
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2816

October 10, 2000